

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/817,578	04/02/2004	Thomas Kugelstadt	TI-35652	4907	
23494	7590 11/15/2005		EXAMINER		
	STRUMENTS INCOR	KO, TONY			
P O BOX 65: DALLAS, T	5474, M/S 3999 X 75265		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2878		
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
		10/817,578	KUGELSTADT, THOMAS			
		Examiner	Art Unit	(m) /		
		Tony Ko	2878	(bus)		
۔ Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply 	ppears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Extense after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mand at patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this of ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) T Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters,	•	e merits is		
Disposition	on of Claims					
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) ⊠ 8) □ Application 9) □ 1 10) □ 1	Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-10 is/are pending in the fla) Of the above claim(s) is/are withder claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.2.4.5.9 and 10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 6-8 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and person Papers The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Exame Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	rawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner. ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 C			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ No(s)/Mail Date		mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PT	「O-152)		

Art Unit: 2878

This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 9/13/05.

Currently claims 1, 2, 4-10 are pending.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the latch" in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 3. Claim 6 recites the limitation "the to enter" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakamoto (U.S. Patent 6,643,472) in view of Garrity (US Patent 6,535,157).
- 3. Regarding claims 1, 2, 4, 9 and 10, (Figs. 15 and 20) a photodetection arrangement including a photo detector (1); supply circuitry (3) for biasing the detector into incident light conduction; a current sensing element in said supply circuitry to sense

Art Unit: 2878

detector current, said element being selected such that its value is sufficiently low not to contribute a bias disruptive voltage drop due to said conduction of said detector; a circuit (23) for comparing said sensed current with a reference value; and a circuit for removing (Vapd = 0) said bias when said a reference value is exceeded, said a reference value being selected such that it represents onset of an overload of said detector (Col. 8, Lines 1-30). Sakamoto discloses the sense element is a low value series of resistor of a value series resistor of a value too low to afford detector protection. Sakamoto also discloses the above mentioned invention is configured as test apparatus for a photo detector and the detector is an avalanche photo diode (Col. 1, Line 1). Sakamoto does not disclose wherein the latch controls a switch which acts to disconnect or remove said supply to said detector. Garrity discloses a latch (32) controls a switch which acts to disconnect or remove supply of a source. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a latch to control a switch which acts to disconnect or remove supply to the detector to reset the device.

- 1. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakamoto in view of Garrity.
- 2. Regarding claim 5, Sakamoto in view of Garrity discloses the invention set forth above. Sakamoto in view of Garrity does not disclose the value of the resistor is of the order of 500 ohms. It is design choice to set the resistor value in the order of 500 ohms. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Art Unit: 2878

invention to design the value of the resistor is of the order of 500 ohms to ensure the current is within the specification.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 6-8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 4. Claims 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior art does not disclose a microcontroller arranged to control the supply circuitry, said microcontroller arranged to receive an interrupt upon the onset of overload and to enter a wait routine to provide a delay before controlling the arrangement to resume normal operation.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2878

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Ko whose telephone number is 571-272-1926.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2878

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TKO